ENVIRONMENT, TRANSPORT & SUSTAINABILITY URGENCY SUB-COMMITTEE

Agenda Item 5

Brighton & Hove City Council

Subject: Traffic Regulation Orders Update Report

Date of Meeting: 24 March 2020

Report of: Executive Director, Economy, Environment &

Culture

Contact Officer: Name: Catherine Dignan Tel: 01273 292235

Email: catherine.dignan@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Ward(s) affected: East Brighton, Hove Park, Patcham, Withdean

FOR GENERAL RELEASE

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND POLICY CONTEXT

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to update Committee on the recent Traffic Regulation Orders advertised.
- 1.2 The first is following a request from CityClean for double yellow lines in Manor Close while the second is for double yellow lines outside of a newly introduced parking scheme (Zone P) in the Hove Park area. The roads consist of Hazeldene Meads, The Beeches, The Deneway, Waylands Avenue, Withdean Road and Woodland Drive.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

2.1 That the Urgency Sub-Committee, having taken into account of all the duly made representations and objection, approves the following order as advertised for Manor Close.

Brighton & Hove Outer Areas (Waiting, Loading and Parking) and Cycle Lanes Consolidation Order 2018 Amendment Order No*202* (TRO-33-2019)

2.2 That the Urgency Sub-Committee, having taken into account of all the duly made representations and objections approves the following order;

Brighton & Hove Outer Areas (Waiting, Loading and Parking) and Cycle Lanes Consolidation Order 2018 Amendment Order No*202* (TRO-41b-2019)

3. CONTEXT/ BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Manor Close - double yellow lines

3.1 The Parking Infrastructure Team received a request for a number of changes to parking restrictions from Cityclean to help them with collections of refuse and recycling. The draft Traffic Regulation Order was advertised on 8th November

- with the closing date for comments and objections on 29th November 2019. The Ward Councillors for the areas were consulted, as were the statutory consultees such as the Emergency Services.
- 3.2 Notices with the information about the proposals were erected within the roads with proposed parking restrictions for 8th November. The notice was also published in the Brighton & Hove Independent newspaper on 8th November. Detailed plans and the draft Traffic Regulation Order were available to view at the Customer Service centres at Bartholomew House and Hove Town Hall.
- 3.3 There was 1 item of correspondence from a resident of the area objecting to the proposals in Manor Close, a plan showing the proposals is shown in Appendix A. The other proposals received no objection and have been implemented.
- 3.4 The reasons for objection are that there are already limited parking spaces in the road with a number of elderly and disabled people, the spaces are needed not just for residents but carers and other support staff. Reducing the number of possible spaces will make the situation worse.
- 3.5 Manor Close is very narrow and, while small vehicles can use the road, large vehicles such as the refuse truck have to unfortunately bump up onto the pavement to be able to access the road.
- 3.6 There is a telegraph pole just north of no.2 Manor Close and this prevents large vehicles being able to bump up onto the pavement. This means when cars are parked opposite no large vehicle can access the road including emergency service vehicles. Cityclean have requested these road markings as they have been unable to collect rubbish/recycling.
- 3.7 The other stretch of double yellow lines proposed cover a dropped kerb which should be kept clear as access is needed to allow mobility scooters and wheelchairs to be able to get off the pavement and access vehicles on the road. A white return line has been tried but motorists are parking over it. it will also allow more room for people to manoeuvre in this turning circle.

Parking restriction proposals outside of newly introduced Zone P (Hove Park area)

- 3.8 Following the implementation of the Zone P controlled parking zone, the Parking Infrastructure Team received a number of requests for changes to parking restrictions just outside the new zone. The draft Traffic Regulation Order was advertised on 20th December 2019 with the closing date for comments on 17th January 2020 .This period was extended from the standard 21 days to 28 days to give extra time for comments due to the festive period. The Ward Councillors for the areas were consulted, as were the statutory consultees such as the Emergency Services.
- 3.9 Notices with the information about the proposals were erected within the roads with proposed parking restrictions for 20th December 2020. The notice was also published in the Brighton & Hove Independent newspaper on 20th December 2020. Detailed plans and the draft Traffic Regulation Order were available to

- view at the Customer Service centres at Bartholomew House and Hove Town Hall. A plan showing the proposals is shown in Appendix B
- 3.10 There were 83 items of correspondence from residents of the areas objecting to the proposals in Hazeldene Meads, The Beeches, The Deneway, Waylands Avenue, Withdean Road and Woodland Drive. The comments/objections are listed in Appendix C.

Hazeldene Meads and The Beeches

- 3.11 There were 8 comments mainly objecting that the proposals didn't go far enough. The resident concerns have been considered although the proposed no waiting at any time is recommended to ensure that junctions are kept clear for safety reasons and not to control parking outside of residents' properties.
- 3.12 There has been a number of residents who have come forward requesting a controlled parking scheme and this was considered on the last Parking scheme timetable report presented to this Committee in October 2019. At that time it was recommended in the report that more residential support was needed to proceed with a consultation for a controlled parking scheme. It was also outlined in response to a petition at the same meeting that Members of this Committee would need to agree to this being an additional scheme starting in early 2022 following the work listed, or that this replaces another scheme consultation on the timetable with others starting later. Neither of these were taken forward as an amendment to the current agreed parking scheme timetable but will be considered in any future review of this timetable when presented to a further Committee.

The Deneway

3.13 There were 6 comments, objecting that the double yellow line proposals didn't go far enough and that the limited waiting parking proposals were not required in the layby. Whilst we appreciate the resident's concerns, the proposed no waiting at any time is recommended to ensure that obstruction to passing traffic was minimised whilst balancing the needs of resident's and their visitors that do need to park on-street. It is felt, however, that the limited waiting proposal should be withdrawn due to the number of objections to the loss of parking.

Waylands Avenue

3.14 No comments were received specifically to the proposed no waiting at time at this location.

Withdean Road

3.15 There were 16 comments, mainly supporting the proposals but wanting further restrictions. Whilst we appreciate the resident's concerns, the proposed no waiting at any time is recommended to ensure that obstruction to passing traffic was minimised and not to control parking outside of residents' properties. However, the comments have been noted and we have recently advertised further restrictions in this road due the correspondence received. Any comments or concerns outlined in writing during the consultation period can be reported back to a further Committee if applicable.

Woodland Drive

3.16 There were 8 comments, mainly supporting the proposals but wanting further restrictions. The proposed no waiting at any time is recommended to ensure that obstruction to passing traffic was minimised and not to control parking outside of residents' properties.

4 ANALYSIS & CONSIDERATION OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 4.1 The main alternative option is doing nothing which would mean the proposals would not be taken forward.
- 4.2 It is, however, the recommendation of officers that the recommended proposals are agreed for the reasons outlined within the report.

5 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT & CONSULTATION

5.1 As set out in the body of the report.

6. CONCLUSION

6.1 As set out in the body of the report and within the recommendations.

7. FINANCIAL & OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

Financial Implications:

7.4 It is assumed that the revenue costs of implementing the recommendations of this report will be contained with existing budgets with Parking Services and that any impact on revenue income (for example residents parking permits) will be immaterial. The financial position will be reviewed as part of monthly budget monitoring and annual budget setting.

Finance Officer Consulted: Jess Laing Date: 04/03/2020

Legal Implications:

- 7.2 The Council regulates traffic by means of orders made under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984. Procedural regulations require public notice of orders to be given and any person may object to the making of an order. Any unresolved objections to an order must be considered by the Environment, Transport and Sustainability Committee before it can be made.
- 7.3 The Council's powers and duties under the Highways Act 1980 and the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 must be exercised to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of all types of traffic. The actions recommended in this report will assist in demonstrating that the Council is complying with its statutory duty.

Lawyer Consulted: Stephanie Stammers Date: 02/03/2020

Equalities Implications:

7.4 Consultation took place and the comments and wishes of the respondents were taken into account when considering what changes would best meet the needs of those local populations. The proposed measures will be of benefit to many road users

Sustainability Implications:

7.5 No Sustainability implications identified.

Brexit Implications:

7.6 No Brexit implications identified.

Any Other Significant Implications:

None

Crime & Disorder Implications:

7.7 None

Risk and Opportunity Management Implications:

7.8 None

Public Health Implications:

7.9 None

Corporate / Citywide Implications:

7.10 None

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Appendices:

- 1. Appendix A TRO-33-2019 Manor Close plan
- 2. Appendix B TRO-41b-2019 Plans
- 3. Appendix C TRO-41b-2019 Comments

Background Documents

1. Agenda Item 34 Report to ETS Committee 8th October 2019